An tSraith Shóisearach do Mhúinteoirí



Mathematics

Resource Booklet

Second Subject Teaching Supports 2019–2020





Contents

Contents

| Learning Intentions | 2 |
|---|---|
| Task – Fencing an Enclosure | 2 |
| Providing Formative Feedback on Student Work | 2 |
| Considering Success Criteria | 2 |
| Key Learning (Section One) | 2 |
| Classroom-Based Assessment (Mathematical Investigation) | 2 |
| Application of Features of Quality to Student Work | 2 |
| CBA – Descriptor Definitions | 2 |
| Subject Learning and Assessment Review (SLAR) | 2 |
| Subject Learning and Assessment Review (SLAR) Meetings | 2 |
| Sharing Samples of Work for the SLAR Meeting | 2 |
| Working with Features of Quality – Example 1 | 2 |
| Working with Features of Quality – Example 2 | 2 |
| Key Learning (Section Two) | 2 |
| Central Statistics Office Website (www.cso.ie) | 2 |
| Reaction Time | 2 |
| Engaging with the Statistical Enquiry Cycle | 2 |
| Statistical Enquiry Cycle | 2 |
| Classroom Based Assessment 2 | 2 |
| External Assessment | 2 |
| Key Learning (Section Three) | 2 |
| Notes | 2 |
| Action Plan | 2 |
| | |

Learning Intentions


Explore the role of collaborative planning and formative assessment on students' experience and learning of Mathematics

Explore the role of professional discussions and departmental collaboration in developing a shared understanding of the quality of students' work

Examine the use of authentic data and the Statistical Enquiry Cycle to motivate students' learning in statistics

Task – Fencing an Enclosure

Emily has 20 metres of fencing. She needs to form an enclosure in the garden for her dog. What is the maximum area she can enclose if all the fencing must be used? Justify your answer.



| Provid | Providing Formative Feedback on Student Work | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| Remember to look at the learning intentions and success criteria that were developed and used with the task. | | | |
| | Student Work - Chessboard | | |
| What are the strengths of this piece of work? | | | |
| What are the areas of development in this piece of work? | | | |
| What feedback would you give the student to move their learning forward? | 4 | | |

Considering Success Criteria

Learning Intention: We are learning about dependant and independent variables

Suggested Success Criteria:


I can identify the variables associated with the circle

I can label a graphical representation with the correct and relevant variables

I can use mathematical language to explain how changing one variable may affect another

The graphs below represent relationships between variables associated with the circle.

Describe the relationships that are represented by the graphs and justify your reasoning.



How could you adapt or modify this question for your students?

Key Learning (Section One)





Classroom-Based Assessment (Mathematical Investigation)


Suggested steps for the subject department and teacher before, during and after the Classroom-Based Assessment.

| Subject Department | | | |
|---|---|---|--|
| Before the CBA➤ Agree the three-week window in consultation with school management➤ Agree the date of the SLAR meeting➤ Agree the SLAR facilitator | During the CBA ➢ Engage in professional discussions and reflection ➢ Support and advise colleagues | <u>After the CBA</u> Conduct the SLAR meeting (must take place within one month of the CBA) Reflect on subject learning | |
| | Teacher | | |
| Before the CBA Develop students' problem- solving skills through engagement with: Learning outcomes Formative assessment Rich task-based learning experiences | During the CBA Advise students on the problem-solving cycle Provide ongoing formative feedback to the student Identify possible samples for the SLAR meeting | After the CBA Assign provisional descriptors to students' work Participate in the SLAR meeting Reconsider judgement Report the final descriptor | |

Application of Features of Quality to Student Work

Applying the Features of Quality (available here)

- Review the student's sample of work.
- Judge the student's sample using the Features of Quality, beginning with the descriptor 'Yet to Meet Expectations'.
- Is there evidence which 'on balance' supports the awarding of this descriptor?
 - If yes, move to the next descriptor and compare to the Features of Quality once again.
 - If no, award the previous descriptor.
- 'Best Fit'- Move through each descriptor until you find a descriptor which best fits the students work.



Source: Junior Cycle Mathematics Guidelines for the Classroom-Based Assessments and Assessment Task, November 2019. During the CBA and SLAR meeting, teachers should refer to the most recent publication of the Assessment Guidelines available at www.curriculumonline.ie.

'When using the Features of Quality to assess the level of student achievement in a Classroom-Based Assessment, teachers use 'on-balance' judgement. The teacher should read the Features of Quality (starting with Yet to meet expectations) until they reach a descriptor that best describes the work being assessed. While it should be noted that none of the descriptors imply faultless achievement, evidence of work for the award of Exceptional should closely match the criteria for that level within the Features of Quality. Where it is not clearly evident which quality descriptor should apply, teachers must come to a judgment based on the evidence from the student's work, to select the descriptor that best matches the student's work overall. This 'best fit' approach allows teachers to select the descriptor that 'on balance' describes the work being assessed.'

(Assessment Guidelines page 7 available here)

CBA – Descriptor Definitions

Teachers use the Features of Quality, set out in [the Assessment Guidelines pages 19 and 31], to decide the level of achievement in each Classroom-Based Assessment. The Features of Quality are the criteria used to assess the student work as best fitting one of the following Descriptors:

- **Exceptional** describes a piece of work that reflects the Features of Quality for the Classroom-Based Assessment to a very high standard. While not necessarily perfect, the strengths of the work far outstrip its flaws, which are minor. Suggestions for improvement are easily addressable by the student.
- Above Expectations describes a piece of work that reflects the Features of Quality for the Classroom-Based Assessment very well. The student shows a clear understanding of how to complete each area of the task. Feedback from the teacher might point to the necessity to address some aspect of the work in need of further attention or polishing, but on the whole the work is of a high standard.
- In Line with Expectations describes a piece of work that reflects most of the Features of Quality for the Classroom-Based Assessment well. It shows a good understanding of the task in hand and is free from significant error. Feedback might point to areas needing further attention or correction, but the work is generally competent and accurate.
- Yet to Meet Expectations describes a piece of work that falls someway short of the demands of the Classroom-Based Assessment and its associated Features of Quality. Perhaps the student has made a good attempt, but the task has not been grasped clearly or is marred by significant lapses.
 Feedback will draw attention to fundamental shortcomings that need to be addressed.

Assessment Guidelines pages 6 & 7

Subject Learning and Assessment Review (SLAR)

In a SLAR meeting, teachers will 'share and discuss samples of their assessments of students' work and build a common understanding about the quality of students' learning. This structured support for Classroom-Based Assessments (CBAs) will help to ensure consistency and fairness within and across schools in the appraisal of student learning'. It is through discussion that consensus about standards are reached (Framework for Junior Cycle (2015), pages 8 and 9).

Teacher **Before** During <u>After</u> Review the Features of A teacher introduces a Adjust the provisional piece of work Quality descriptor if necessary Consider students' work The group reviews the Report the final descriptor using the Features of Quality work using the Features of using established school and award a provisional Quality protocols Reflect on the SLAR The discussion is led by the descriptor meeting Select samples of student facilitator work from each descriptor, The focus is on a best-fit, where feasible, for on-balance approach consideration at the SLAR The process is repeated for a sample at each of the meeting other descriptor levels

Facilitator

Before

- Collect samples of work from teachers for each grade descriptor, where feasible
- Develop a running order for the SLAR meeting
- Organise the location, time and resources for the SLAR meeting

During

- Open the meeting and review the protocols for the meeting
- Facilitate, direct and progress the discussion
- Monitor the running order
- Model effective questioning focusing on how well the students' work best fits the Features of Quality

<u>After</u>

- Complete and submit facilitator's report
- Reflect on the SLAR process



Further information on the SLAR process and relevant documents are available at: https://www.jct.ie/maths/assessment

Assessment

Subject Learning and Assessment Review (SLAR) Meetings

Subject Learning and Assessment Review (SLAR) meetings enable teachers to collaboratively reach consistency in their judgments of student work against common, externally set Features of Quality. Greater understanding of standards and expectations will develop over time as teachers come together in professional discussion to reflect on the quality of their own students' work.

Before the SLAR meeting

- Teachers may find it helpful to review some of the relevant NCCA-annotated examples, the specification and assessment guidelines prior to coming to decisions about their own students' work.
- Assess students work based on the Features of Quality and give each piece of work a provisional descriptor.
- Identify one sample of student's work for each descriptor, where feasible, and have these available for discussion at the meeting.

During the SLAR meeting

- The facilitator explains that the purpose of the meeting is to support consistency of judgement about students' work and to develop a common understanding about the quality of student learning.
- The facilitator asks one member of staff to introduce a sample of work they have assessed as 'Yet to Meet Expectations'.
- The facilitator leads a general discussion on the extent to which the student's work matches the relevant Features of Quality.
- The facilitator should look to establish consensus during the discussion of examples of students work but the emphasis should be on developing teachers' professional knowledge and skills rather than on seeking unanimous agreement over every Feature of Quality in every example.
- The emphasis during the review meetings should always be on a 'best fit' approach which allows teachers to agree the descriptor that 'on-balance' is most appropriate for the work being assessed.
- Where there is a lack of agreement, the facilitator should refer to relevant annotated examples of student work provided by the NCCA and, if appropriate, examples of student work that other teachers in the group have assessed and awarded that descriptor to.
- While reasonable time should be allowed for discussion, the facilitator should use his/her professional judgement to decide when it would be appropriate to proceed to the next sample.
- If possible, there should be discussion of at least two samples for each descriptor and the facilitator should ensure that each teacher has at least one of their samples discussed during the meeting.
- The process is repeated for a piece of work at each level descriptor.
- It is important that each teacher notes the implications of the decisions made during the meeting for the rest of the student work they have already assessed, particularly in the case of descriptors where their judgement did not align with the view of the majority of teachers at the meeting.

After the SLAR Process

- In instances where the judgement of the teacher did not align with the view of the majority of teachers at the SLAR meeting, provisional descriptors should be reconsidered, and appropriate adjustments should be made.
- The facilitator completes the SLAR Facilitator Report and files appropriately.

Sharing Samples of Work for the SLAR Meeting

Mathematics teachers of second year students bring four samples of student work to the Subject Learning and Assessment Review (SLAR) meeting. **Where feasible**, these samples should contain a sample at each of the four descriptor levels. The information will be used to create a running order for our SLAR meeting. As we may not have time to discuss all the samples, please number the pieces 1 to 4, with number 1 indicating the sample that you would most like to discuss.

| Date of SLAR Meetin | g: | |
|------------------------|---|--------------------|
| Please return this for | m to your SLAR Facilitator | by |
| Teacher Name: | | |
| Sample 1 | Format: Topic/Title: Provisional Descriptor: Preference for use at SLAR: | Sample Shared via: |
| Sample 2 | Format: Topic/Title: Provisional Descriptor: Preference for use at SLAR: | Sample Shared via: |
| Sample 3 | Format: Topic/Title: Provisional Descriptor: Preference for use at SLAR: | Sample Shared via: |
| Sample 4 | Format: Topic/Title: Provisional Descriptor: Preference for use at SLAR: | Sample Shared via: |

Working with Features of Quality – Example 1

Consider the student's work through the lens of the Features of Quality.

Using the table below, note your thoughts in each of the four areas of activity for the Mathematical Investigation:

| Defining the Problem Statement | |
|--|--|
| Finding a Strategy or Translate the Problem to Mathematics | |
| Engaging with the Mathematics to Solve the Problem | |
| Interpreting and Reporting | |
| Problem | |

Working with Features of Quality – Example 2

Consider the student's work through the lens of the Features of Quality.

Using the table below, note your thoughts in each of the four areas of activity for the Mathematical Investigation:

| Defining the Problem Statement | |
|--|--|
| Finding a Strategy or Translate the Problem to Mathematics | |
| Engaging with the Mathematics to Solve the Problem | |
| Interpreting and Reporting | |

Key Learning (Section Two)



Central Statistics Office Website (www.cso.ie)

Most Popular Names by Year of Birth

On the homepage select Visualisation

Tools



Select the tile for **Baby Names of Ireland** and follow the prompts




Using a SAPMAP


- 1) On the homepage select Visualisation
- 2) Select SAPMAP

Tools




3) Deselect the option for counties






 Select Small Areas and Zoom to find your area



5) Select your area on the map and select the option for **more information**



 Select one of the available links for a detailed breakdown for your local area

| Theme 15: Motor Car Availab | oility, PC Ownership and Internet Access |
|------------------------------------|--|
| Number of households with cars | |
| Number of households with a perso | nal computer |
| Number of households with internet | access |
| | • |
| | PDF Excel Print |
| Number of hous | seholds with internet access |
| Internet Access | Households |
| Broadband | 82 |
| Other | 9 |
| No | 11 |
| Not stated | 7 |
| Not stated | 1 |

6) Select one of the theme options such as Motor Car Availability, PC Ownership and Internet Access



8) Select **Compare state total data** to make a comparison with national figures



| | PDF | Excel | Print | |
|---|-----|-------|---------|--|
| Number of households with internet access | | | | |
| Internet Access | | Hou | seholds | |
| Broadband | | 1, | 200,067 | |
| Other | | | 131,676 | |
| No | | | 312,982 | |
| Not stated | | | 52,940 | |
| Total | | 1, | 697,665 | |

Infographics

On the homepage select Infographics




Select a theme



| Reaction Time | | | | |
|---|---|--|--------------------|--------|
| Using the options below, rate your perception of your hand-eye coordination. Weak Moderate Strong Use the spaces provided below to record your five reaction times (milliseconds) from the NRICH Maths Reaction Timer (www.nrich.maths.org/reactiontimer). Engaging with the Statistical Enquiry Cycle | | | | |
| Initial Statistical Ques | Initial Statistical Question: | | | |
| <u>Stage</u> | Possible Considerations May Include | | <u>Comments/Th</u> | oughts |
| Design the investigation | Formulation of the question Data collection | | | |
| Identify variables and select reliable methods for gathering data | Variables Gathering of data Reliability Sample | | | |
| Organise and manage data | Displaying the dataSummarising the data | | | |
| Analyse and interpret data Revised Statistical Qu | Statistical question Informal inference Sampling Improvements to the investigation | | | |

Statistical Enquiry Cycle




Classroom Based Assessment 2

| Classroom- Based Assessment | Format | Student preparation | Completed |
|-----------------------------------|---|---|---------------|
| Statistical Investigation | A report may be presented in a wide range of formats | A student will, over a three-week period follow the Statistical enquiry cycle. Statistical enquiry cycle: formulate a question; plan and collect unbiased, representative data; organise and manage the data; explore and analyse the data using appropriate displays and numerical summaries and answer the original question giving reasons based on the analysis section. | Year Three |

Statistical Enquiry Cycle

Assessment Guidelines page 10



External Assessment

Assessment Task

The Assessment Task is a written task completed by students during class time. It is not marked by the class teacher but is sent to the State Examinations Commission for marking as part of the state-certified examination in Mathematics. The Assessment Task is specified by the NCCA and is related to the learning outcomes on which the second Classroom-Based Assessment is based. In the case of mathematics, this is the Statistical Investigation. The details of the Assessment Task are outlined in the table below:

| Format | Student preparation | Completed |
|------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Students complete a | The Assessment Task will | Following completion of |
| specified written task | link to the Statistical | the second Classroom- |
| which is sent to the SEC for | Investigation. | Based Assessment in Year |
| marking. | | Three. |

The Assessment Task is offered at a common level and will be allocated 10% of the marks used to determine the grade. The Assessment Task is directly related to the nature and focus of the second Classroom-Based Assessment, the Statistical Investigation, which is *to pose a question, gather and analyse data and interpret it in the context of the original question*. The knowledge and skills developed by students during this Classroom-Based Assessment emerge from their growing awareness of *statistical inquiry*.

The Assessment Task will comprise of **some or all** of the following:

- Engagement with a short stimulus in written, audio, audio-visual or multi-modal format in preparation for the written task
- A written task that tests the students in their capacity to reflect on the skills they have developed

Assessment Guidelines page 32

Final Examination

The final assessment will be offered at both Higher and Ordinary Level and will be allocated 90% of the marks used to determine the grade. At both levels there will be one examination paper. The examination will be set and marked by the State Examinations Commission (SEC). The examination will be two hours in duration and will take place in June of third year.

| Grading of final examination | | |
|------------------------------|-------------|--|
| Grade | Range (%) | |
| Distinction | ≥90 to 100 | |
| Higher Merit | ≥75 and <90 | |
| Merit | ≥55 and <75 | |
| Achieved | ≥40 and <55 | |
| Partially Achieved | ≥20 and <40 | |
| (not graded) | ≥0 and <20 | |

Frequently Asked Questions

There is a frequently asked questions document on conducting Classroom-Based Assessments and SLAR meetings available at:

https://www.jct.ie/maths/departmental_planning

or use the QR code opposite to take you to the document.



SLAR – Further Information

Further information on the SLAR process and relevant documents are available at:

https://www.jct.ie/maths/assessment

or use the QR code opposite to take you to the document.



Assessment Guidelines Interactive Resource

This interactive resource can be used individually or as a subject department and is available at:

https://www.jct.ie/maths/assessment

or use the QR code opposite to take you to the document.




Departmental Planning

There is a range of resources related to planning available at:

https://www.jct.ie/maths/departmental_planning

or use the QR code opposite to take you to the document.





| Action Plan | | | |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|--|
| Evaluation Criteria | | | |
| Who/When | | | |
| Action | | | |
| Goal | | | |
| Action Plan | Teacher's Individual Practice | Departmental Collaborative Practice | |

| Ant | t Sraith Shóisearach do Mhúinteoirí | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| JuniorCYCLE | | | | |
| Contact details: | for teachers | | | |
| Administrative Office: | Director's Office: | | | |
| Monaghan Ed. Centre, | LMETB, | | | |
| Armagh Road, | Chapel Street, | | | |
| Monaghan. | Dundalk | | | |
| www.metc.ie | | | | |
| For any queries, please contact us on one of the following: | | | | |
| Follow us on Twitter @JctMaths | | | | |
| @JCforTeachers | | | | |
| | Email: <u>info@jct.ie</u> | | | |
| | Phone number: 047 74008 | | | |

More information and resources available on our website: www.jct.ie/maths

To sign up for our mailing list follow the QR code

